

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 12 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

TRAN

Application No.: 10/764,647

Filed: 1/26/2004

Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR
ANALYZING DOCUMENTS

Examiner: SINGH, RACHNA

Art Unit: 2176

AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

In the Office Action mailed 3/6/2006, Claims 1-7, 10, 12-15, and 19-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzales, US 2002/0161603 A1 in view of Sweet et al., US 6,567,799 B2. Claims 8-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzales in view of Sweet et al, and further in view of Foqel et al., US 2004/0059994. Claims 16-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzales in view of Sweet et al., and further in view of Mahoney et al., US 5,999,664. Claim 18 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzales, in view of Sweet et al., and further in view of Barqeron et al., US 2004/0205542 A1, 10/14/04.

With respect to the independent claims, the Office Action noted that: